

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,563	06/26/2003	Marvin L. Stone	57459/03-379	4700
22206	7590 04/27/2004		EXAMINER	
FELLERS SNIDER BLANKENSHIP			MORAN, TIMOTHY J	
BAILEY & T	TIPPENS EDY BUILDING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800			2878	
TULSA, OK 74103-3318			DATE MAIL ED: 04/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>R</i> h ,				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/606,563	STONE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Timothy J. Moran	2878					
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 l	December 2003.						
·— · · — —							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) □ Claim(s) 1 and 12-21 is/are pending in the ap  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) □ Claim(s) 12-21 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examination of the specificant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration.  for election requirement.  her. herecepted or b) □ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed December 1, 2003 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the inventor listed for U.S. Patent No. 5,661,187 does not match the authors for the patent. It has been placed in the application file, but that specific document has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609

It is noted that a patent with a similar number (5,661,817) and authorship was cited in the parent application. Therefore it has been considered in the present action.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 8, line 14, the term "boom 20" should be replaced with "boom 22." In page 16, line 4, the term "Serial No. \_\_\_\_\_\_" should be replaced with "Serial No. 09/911867." In page 16, line 6, the term "filed contemporaneously herewith" is replaced with --filed July 24, 2001--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,563 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

## Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 7, the term "a photodetector positioned receive" should be replaced with --a photodetector positioned to receive--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,596,996. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-21 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 12 includes the limitations that a height independent spectral reflectance sensor comprises a linear lens and a plurality of light emitting diodes. Claim 15 includes the limitations that an array of reflectance sensors comprises a plurality of linear lenses and a plurality of light emitting diodes.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/606,563 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Voser, U. S. Patent No. 6,172,745, describes a spectral reflectance sensor comprising a linear array of light sources (col. 4, lines 43-45).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Moran whose telephone number is 571-272-2443. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.M.

TM

April 21, 2004

CONSTANTINE HANNAHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER.
GROUP ART UNIT 2878